University of Cincinnati							
	Date: 7/17/2014						
I. Jodi A. Finchum , hereby submit this original work as part of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Health Education.							
It is entitled: Parental Factors Associated with Recent Alcohol Use and Binge Drinking among Youth							
Student's name: Jodi A. Finchum							
	This work and its defense approved by:						
	Committee chair: Keith King, Ph.D.						
UNIVERSITY OF Cincinnati	Committee member: Rebecca Vidourek, Ph.D.						
	10634						

Parental Factors Associated with Recent Alcohol Use and Binge Drinking among Youth University of Cincinnati A thesis submitted to the Division of Graduate Studies and Research University of Cincinnati

> In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science In the school of Human Services Of the College of Education, Criminal Justice and Human Services

> > By

Jodi A. Finchum

M.S. University of Cincinnati 2014

Dr. Keith A. King, Chair Dr. Rebecca A. Vidourek, Committee Member

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of parental factors on recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking among youth within the Greater Cincinnati area. More specifically, this study investigated whether recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking among youth differed based on family structure, parent job status and parental educational status. In addition, the study assessed whether the impact of family structure, parental job status and parental education on youth recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking differed based on students' sex and grade level. A total of 54,366 students in 7th through 12th grades in Greater Cincinnati completed surveys. Logistic regression analyses revealed that recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking differed significantly based on family structure, parent job status and parent education. Specifically, recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking was highest among students who did not live with two biological parents, had fathers who were unemployed and had mothers and fathers with a high school diploma or less. Recommendations for future research and practice are offered.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
List of Tables	5
Introduction	6
Methods	8
Participants	8
Instrumentation	9
Procedures	9
Data Analysis	10
Results	10
Discussion	12
References	16
Table 1. Demographic and Background Characteristics.	24
Table 2. Odds Ratios for Recent Alcohol Use and Episodic Heavy Drinking based on Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education	25
Table 3. Odds Ratios for Recent Alcohol Use among Males and Femalesbased on Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education	26
Table 4. Odds Ratios for Episodic Heavy Drinking among Males and Femalesbased on Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education	27
Table 5. Odds Ratios for Recent Alcohol Use among Junior High and High SchoolStudents based on Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education	28
Table 6. Odds Ratios for Episodic Heavy Drinking among Junior High and High School Students based on Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education	29
Introduction	

Alcohol use among youth remains a major US public health issue. Experimentation with alcohol has become normative among adolescents and that a sizeable percentage of youth develop regular drinking patterns (Hibell et al., 2004; Poelen, Scholte, Engels, Boomsma, & Willemsen, 2005; Trimbos, 2004). Research on early onset of drinking has found that more than 40% of individuals who begin drinking before the age of 13 years develop alcohol abuse problems later in life (Habib, Santoro, Kremer, Toumbourou, Leslie, Williams, 2010). According to the 2009 Youth Risk Behavior Survey [YRBS], approximately three in four students (72.5%) had consumed at least one drink of alcohol in their lifetime (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention [CDC], 2009) and one in four (24.2%) had five or more drinks in a row within a couple of hours during the 30 days prior to the survey.

Misuse of alcohol is the major contributor to preventable harm for young people (Toumbourou, Stockwell, Neighbors, Marlatt, Sturge, & Rehm, 2007). Studies have indicated that alcohol misuse contributes to several other problem behaviors, such as low academic performance, alcohol-related violence, injuries and a variety of other problems (Fergusson et al., 1994; Gruber et al., 1996). Also, underage drinking is linked to traffic accidents, trouble with the law, risky sexual behavior, and later alcohol dependence or abuse (Koutakis, Stattin, & Kerr, 2008).

Risk & Protective Factors

Underage alcohol consumption has been linked to a number of risk factors involving family and peers. At the family level, risk factors include parental approval of youth alcohol use, poor parental monitoring, and parental drinking which may increase alcohol use as normative behavior (Barnes, Reifman, Farrell, & Dintcheff, 2000; Beck, Boyle, & Boekeloo, 2003; King, Vidourek, & Wagner, 2004; Wickrama, Conger, Wallace, & Elder, 1999). Also, drinking patterns of siblings has been shown to have an effect on youth alcohol use. In fact, sibling effects may be similar to peer effects, and stronger than parental effects (Scholte, Poelen, Willemsen, Boomsma, & Engels 2007). In summary, parenting practices and family environment are thought to play a major role in the development of adolescent drinking patterns (Alati, Maloney, Hutchison, Najman, Mattick, Bor & Williams 2009). Family protective factors include strong family connectedness, high levels of parental involvement, supportive parent-child relationships, and clear rules against alcohol use and consistent enforcement of such rules (National Institute on Drug Abuse [NIDA], 2005; Resnick et al., 1997; Search Institute, 2004).

Family Structure

Seventh and eighth grade youth living in single-parent households tend to be more likely to have used alcohol than youth living in two-parent households (Blum et al., 2000). Since greater than one-fourth (28%) of youth live in single-parent households (Child Trends, 2002; U.S. Census Bureau, 2003), there is great need to specifically address this issue and implement effective data-based strategies. Previous research has shown that youth living in single-parent households are more likely to participate in risky behaviors such as alcohol use and other drugs (Blum et al., 2000; Oman et al., 2004; Santelli et al., 2000).

Parent Education and Job Status

To date, a paucity of studies has investigated the influence of parent education on youth alcohol use. Research has shown that alcohol use among teens is often influenced by antisociality and depression (Olds & Thombs, 2001). Parental involvement with older youth has

less of an influence than peer influence or close friends' drinking habits on younger youth drinking patterns. Concerning parents' attitudes, studies have shown that the more lenient parents' attitudes toward drinking, the more children tend to drink (Koutakis, Stattin & Kerr, 2008). Data indicates that higher level of adolescent alcohol use is closely associated with perceptions that alcohol is frequently used by close friends.

The following research questions were examined:

- 1. Do recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking among youth differ based on family structure, parent job status, and parent educational status?
- 2. Do the impact of family structure, parental job status and parental education on recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking among youth differ between male and female students as well as between junior high and high school students?

Methods

Participants

Participants in the present study were 54,366 students in 7th through 12th grades in Greater Cincinnati public and private schools (n = 133). Schools were recruited by the Coalition for a Drug Free Greater Cincinnati to participate in the study. Parental permission was sought for voluntary student participation in the study. If parents refused permission for their child to participate, the student was then excluded from the survey. All student responses were kept anonymous and confidential.

Instrument

The PRIDE Questionnaire was used to survey students. This survey has been used for several years in the US to determine youth substance use rates. In the present study, students were requested to report how often they engaged in pro-social behaviors and risky behaviors by using a 5-point scale (1 = never, 5 = a lot). Students also used this scale to rate how often their parents, teachers, and schools talked to them about the dangers of alcohol and other drug use and how often they set/enforced rules regarding use. Stability reliability was determined by delivering the survey to 931 students on two occasions seven days apart, yielding Pearson correlation coefficients of .814-.851 (Metze, 2000). Adams (1994) compared PRIDE results to those of the National Institute of Drug Abuse Monitoring the Future study and found alcohol use rates in each survey to be comparable. Psychometric characteristics of this survey have been shown to be valid and reliable (Craig & Emshoff, 1987).

Procedures

Surveys were completed by students during their regularly scheduled hours. Before distributing the surveys, survey administrators informed students of the study purpose, voluntary nature of the study, and anonymity of all responses. All survey administrators were instructed to remain at the front of the room during survey completion. Students placed completed questionnaires in a designated envelope which was subsequently sent out for data entry and analysis.

Data Analysis

All data was entered and analyzed via the SPSS statistical software package. Frequency distributions were used to describe students' demographic and background characteristics. A series of chi-square analyses were conducted to determine whether recent involvement in alcohol use differed significantly based on sex, grade and job status. Logistic regression was conducted to answer research questions three through six. The alpha level of significance was established at .05.

Results

A total of 54,366 students completed surveys (77.4% response rate). Half of the students were female (50.6%) and half were male (49.4%) (Table 1). Data showed that students in 7th and 8th grade accounted for 33.7% of the sample and students in 9th through 12th represented 66.3% of the sample. Three-fourths (75%) of students were Caucasian, 14.4% African American, 2.4% Asian/Pacific Islander, 1.8% Hispanic/Latino and 6.3% self-reported as "Other." A total of 62.4% of students lived with mother and father, 16.2% lived with mother only, 2.9% lived with father only, 9.9% lived with mother and stepfather, 2.5% lived with father and stepmother and 6.2% identified with living with a category marked "Other." A total of 34.7% students noted that their father had a high school diploma or less. 65.3% of father's of students had some college education. A total of 31.1% students noted that their father had a high school diploma or less. 68.9% of student's mothers had some college. Regarding parental employment, 92.9% of fathers were employed and 81.2% of mothers were employed.

Impact of Family Structure on Recent Alcohol Use and Episodic Heavy Drinking Among Youth Recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking differed based on family structure. Students who lived with both biological parents (18.4%) were significantly less likely to use alcohol in the past month than students who did not live with both biological parents (23.4%) (Table 2). Similarly, students who lived with both biological parents (9.6%) were also less likely to participate in frequent episodic heavy drinking than students who did not live with both biological parents (12.5%).

Impact of Parent Job Status on Recent Alcohol Use and Episodic Heavy Drinking Among Youth

Results indicated that recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking were significantly associated with parent job status (Table 2). Of participants, 19.9% with a father working reported to have used alcohol in the past 30 days, compared to 26.8% of participants with a father not working. Similarly, students were more likely to engage in episodic heavy drinking if they had a father who did not work compared to students who had a father who did work. Regarding mother job status, data was not significant when comparing recent alcohol use or episodic heavy drinking and mother being employed versus unemployed. Further research is needed.

Impact of Parent Education on Recent Alcohol Use and Episodic Heavy Drinking Among Youth

Students whose fathers had some college education or more were five times less likely than students whose fathers had a high school diploma or less to have used alcohol in the past 30 days, compared to students whose fathers had some college or more. Similarly, students whose fathers had a high school diploma or less were almost eight times more likely than students whose fathers had some college education or more to have participated in episodic heavy drinking (Table 4). Mothers' job status had no significant impact on students' involvement in recent alcohol use or binge drinking.

Impact of Parent Factors on Recent Alcohol Use and Episodic Heavy Drinking among Male, Female, Junior High and High School Youth

Both junior high and high school students who did not live with both biological parents were more likely than their counterparts to have used alcohol in the past 30 days and to frequently binge drink (Table 5-6). Regarding father job status, junior high and high school students whose fathers did not have a job were more likely to have used alcohol in the past month and frequently binge drink than students whose fathers did have a job. Mother job status had no significant impact on recent alcohol use or binge drinking among both junior high and high school students. Regarding parent education, junior high and high school students whose fathers had a high school diploma or less were more likely to have used alcohol in the past month and to frequently binge drink than students whose fathers had some college or more. Junior high and high school students whose mothers had some college or more were less likely to have used alcohol in the past month and to frequently binge drink than their counterparts.

Discussion

The present study found that recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking rates were higher among high school students (9th- 12th grades) than junior high students (7th and 8th grade). These results are similar to previous studies which have documented negative associations between age at first drink (AFD) and a variety of negative outcomes, including heavy drinking in adolescence and adulthood (DeWit et al., 2000, Fergusson et al., 1994; Grant

& Dawson, 1997; Gruber et al., 1996; Hawkins et al., 1997, Hingson et al., 2006, Muthen & Muthen, 2000, Pitkanen et al., 2005,) and the use of other psychoactive substances, such as nicotine, cannabis, inhalants, amphetamines, or cocaine (Gruber et al., 1996; McGue et al., 2001b). Results of the current study indicated that students who lived with both biological parents were significantly less likely to have used alcohol in the past month or to participate in frequent episodic heavy drinking. Other studies have shown dual-parent homes serve as a protective effect against youth alcohol use. Research indicates that youth living in single-mother or single-father households tend to have higher rates of smoking tobacco, drinking alcohol, and smoking marijuana (approximately 2%, 12%, and 7% higher, respectively) compared with youth living in two-parent households (Flewelling & Bauman, 1990). A possible explanation for the increase in alcohol use in single-parent households could be that there is less parental monitoring, thus resulting in youth from these families having more free time and availability to experiment with alcohol and other drugs. Adolescents from dual-parent homes may also be receiving more steady interaction with parents, compared to single-parent homes, which may serve as a protective factor against recent alcohol use and binge drinking. Dual-parent homes may also provide the availability to divide duties, share working and parenting responsibilities, thus providing an environment that is more conducive to provide consistent supervision and interaction with adolescents.

Regarding parental job status, the present study found that students with parents who had part-time or full-time employment were significantly less likely to have used alcohol in the past month or to have participated in frequent episodic heavy drinking. Males and females were more likely to have used alcohol in the past month when their fathers were not working, compared to male and female adolescents whose mothers were not working. When examining frequent episodic heavy drinking for males and females, both sexes were less likely to have participated in episodic heavy drinking when their fathers were employed. However, data was not statistically significant when comparing frequent episodic heavy drinking for males and females and mother job status. Reasons for this difference are currently unknown. Additional research to explain such findings are clearly warranted.

Regarding parental education, students with parents who had some college education were significantly less likely to have participated in recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking. These findings are similar with other studies that have indicated that low parental education is a risk factor for adolescent recent alcohol use and binge drinking (Barnes, Reifman, Farrell, & Dintcheff, 2000; Beck, Boyle, & Boekeloo, 2003; King, Vidourek, & Wagner, 2004; Wickrama, Conger, Wallace, & Elder, 1999). It appears that parent education serves as a protective effect against youth alcohol use. This may be due to the intrinsic value of education, continued motivation from the parents to youth to focus on academic achievement while refraining from distractions or harmful behaviors such as alcohol use, parental encouragement to youth to develop and achieve career goals, and increased awareness of the dangers of youth alcohol use. More research is needed.

Overall, this study found that family structure, parent job status and parent education affect recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking among males, females, and junior high and high school students. More specifically, recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking was significantly impacted by family structure, specifically higher levels of use among adolescents not living with their biological parents. Adolescents not living with their biological parents may have more risk factors than adolescents living with biological parents. Risk factors noted in similar studies include poor parental monitoring and parental drinking which may increase alcohol use as a normative behavior (Alati, Maloney, Hutchison, Najman, Mattick, Bor & Williams 2009). Findings demonstrate that father job status plays a significant role for males, females, junior high and high school students in recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking. Mother job status was not statistically significant. A key factor impacting recent alcohol use and frequent episodic heavy drinking among youth is parental education. Students with parents who had some college education or more were significantly less like to have recently used alcohol or participated in frequent episodic heavy drinking. Youth growing up in homes with parents with some college education or more may have increased opportunities available to them, including more educational and financial resources, which, in turn, may increase protective factors and reduce the risk of alcohol use. Parental educational level is an important predictor of children's educational and behavioral outcomes (Davis-Kean, 2005).

Study Limitations

The following study limitations should be noted. First, participants in this study were junior high and high school students residing in the Greater Cincinnati area, thus, the results may not necessarily generalize to students in other grades or geographic locations. In addition, the data was collected via self-report surveys, which may have resulted in some students responding to questions in socially desirable ways. The self-report nature of the survey was also limited to the honesty and accuracy of students' recall.

Future Recommendations

Future recommendations include more research related to parental factors effects on recent alcohol use and episodic heavy drinking among youth, especially mother job status. Also, further recommendations to professionals in the prevention field include targeting youth. Also, further research about family structure and alcohol use related to differences in single-parent homes, dual-parent homes and step-families is needed.

References

- Adams, R. D. (1994). The *PRIDE questionnaire for grades 6-12. 2nd developmental study*. Retrieved July 3, 2014 from http://www.pridesurveys.com/supportfiles/tr99612.pdf
- Alati, R., Maloney, E., Hutchinson, D. M., Najman, J. M., Mattick, R. P., Bor, W., & Williams,G. M. (2010). Do maternal parenting practices predict problematic patterns of adolescent alcohol consumption? *Addiction*, *105*(5), 872-880.
- Andrews, J. A., Tildesley E., Hops, Hyman, Li F., (2002). The influence of peers on young adult substance use. *Health Psychology*, *21*(4), 349-357.
- Astone, N., & McLanahan, S. S. (1991). Family structure, parental practices and high school completion. *American Sociological Review*, 56(3), 309-320.
- Astone, N., & McLanahan, S. S. (1994). Family structure, residential mobility, and school dropout: A research note. *Demography*, 31(4), 575-584.
- Barnes, G. M., Reifman, A.S., Farrell, M. P., & Dintcheff, B.A. (2000). The effects of parenting on the development of adolescent alcohol misuse; A six-wave latent growth model. *Journal of Marriage and the Family, 62,* 175-186.
- Beck, K.H., Boyle, J.R., & Boekeloo, B.O. (2003). Parental monitoring and adolescent alcohol risk in a clinic population. *American Journal of Health Behavior, 27*, 108-115.

- Blum, R. W., Beuhring, T., Shew, M. L., Bearinger, L. H., Sieving, R. E., & Resnick, M. D. (2000). The effects of race/ethnicity, income, and family structure on adolescent risk behaviors. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90(12), 1879-1884.
- Bogenschneider, K., Wu, M., Raffaelli, M., & Tsay, J.C. (1998). Parent influences on adolescent peer orientation and substance use: The interface of parenting practices and values. *Child Development*, 169, 1672-1688.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2008). Youth risk behavior surveillance United States, 2007. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 57*(SS-4), 1-136.
- Center for Substance Abuse Prevention. (2001). *Underage drinking prevention: Action guide and planner*. Rockville, MD: National Clearinghouse for Alcohol and Drug Information.
- Craig, J. R., & Emshoff, J. (1987). The PRIDE questionnaire for grades 6-12. Developmental study. Retrieved July 5, 2014 from http://www.pridesurveys.com/supportfiles/tr99612.pdf
- Davis-Kean PE. (2005). The influence of parent education and family income on child achievement: The indirect role of parental expectations and the home environment. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 19:294–304. [PubMed: 15982107]
- DeWitt, D. J., & Adlaff, E. M. (2000). Age at first alcohol use: A risk factor for the development of alcohol disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *157*(5), 745.
- Fergusson, D.M., Hoswood, L.J., & Lynskey, M.T. (1995). The prevalence and risk factors associated with abusive or hazardous alcohol consumption in 16-year-olds. *Addiction*, 90, 935-946.
- Fergusson, D. M., Lynskey, M. T., & Horwood, L. J. (1994). Childhood exposure to alcohol and adolescent drinking patterns. *Addiction*, 89, 1007-1016, Flewelling, R. L., &

Bauman, K. E. (1990). Family structure as a predictor of initial substance use and sexual intercourse in early adolescence. *Journal of Marriage & Family*, *52*(1), 171-181.

- Grant, B. F., & Dawson, D. A. (1997). Age at onset of alcohol use and its association with
 DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence: Results from the National Longitudinal Alcohol
 Epidemiologic Survey. *Journal of Substance Abuse*, 9, 103-110.
- Gruber, E., DiClemente, R. J., Anderson, M. M., & Lodico, M. (1996). Early drinking onset and its association with alcohol use and problem behavior in late adolescence. *Preventive Medicine*, 25, 293-300.
- Hawkins, J., & Graham, J. W. (1997). Exploring the effects of age of alcohol use initiation and psychosocial factors on subsequent.. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, *58*(3), 280.
- Habib, C., Santoro, J., Kremer, P., Toumbourou, J., Leslie, E., & Williams, J. (2010). The importance of family management, closeness with father and family structure in early adolescent alcohol use. *Addiction*, 105(10), 1750-1758.
- Hibell, B., Andersson, B., Bjarnasson, T., Ahlstrom, S., Balakivera, O., Kokkevi, A., et. al.
 (2004). The ESPAD Report 2003. Alcohol and other drug use among students in 35
 European countries. The Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and Other Drugs
 (CAN), Council of Europe, Co-operation Group to Combat Drug Abuse and Illicit
 Trafficking in Drugs (Pompidou Group).
- Hingson, R. W., Heeren, T., & Winter, M. R. (2006). Age at drinking onset and alcohol dependence: Age at onset, duration, and severity. Archives of Pediatric Medicine, 160, 739-746.
- Johnston, L. D., O'Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G., & Schulenberf, J. E. (2006). Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2005. Vol I: Secondary school students (NIH Publication No. 06-5883). Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.

- King, K. A., & Vidourek, R. A. (2010). Attitudinal and social correlates to recent alcohol use among youth. *American Journal of Health Studies*, 25(1), 19-30.
- King, K. A., Vidourek, R. A., & Wagner, D. I. (2004). Effect of drug use and parent-child time spent together on adolescent involvement in alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. *Adolescent and Family Health*, 3(4), 171-176.
- Koutakis, N., Stattin, H., & Kerr, M. (2008). Reducing youth alcohol drinking through a parenttargeted intervention: the Örebro Prevention Program. *Addiction*, 103(10), 1629-1637.
- Kuntsche, E., Vorst, H. V. D., & Engels, R. (2009). The earlier the more? Differences in the links between age at first drink and adolescent alcohol use and related problems according to quality of parent-child relationships. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 70, 346-354.
- Luk, J. W., Farhat, T., Iannotti, R. J., & Simons-Morton, B. G. (2010). Parent–child communication and substance use among adolescents: Do father and mother communication play a different role for sons and daughters? *Addictive Behaviors*, *35*(5), 426-431.
- Martyn, K. K., Loveland-Cherry, C. J., Villarruel, A. M., Cabriales, E., Ronis, D. L., Eakin, B., & Yan, Z. (2009). Mexican adolescents' alcohol use, family intimacy, and parentadolescent communication. *Journal of Family Nursing*, *15*(2), 152-170
- McGue, M., Iacono, W.G., Legrand, L.N., Malone, S., & Elkins, I. (2001). Origins and consequences of age at first drink: Associations with substance-use disorders, disinhibitory behavior and psychopathology, and P3 amplitude. *Alcoholism and Clinical*

Experimental Research, 25, 1156-1165.

- Metze, L. (2000). The *PRIDE questionnaire for grades 6-12. Validity and reliability study*. Retrieved June 30, 2014 from http://www.pridesurveys.com/supportfiles/tr99612.pdf
- Muthen, B. O. & Muthen, L. K. (2000). The development of heavy drinking and alcohol-related problems from age 18-37 in a U.S. national sample. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 61*, 290-300.
- National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2005). Preventing Drug Use among Children and Adolescents, 2nd Edition, In Brief. Retrieved July 5, 2014 from http://www.nida.nih.gov/Prevention/risk.html
- Olds, R., & Thombs, D. L. (2001). The relationship of adolescent perceptions of peer norms and parent involvement to cigarette and alcohol use. *Journal of School Health*, *71*(6), 223228.
- Oman, R. F., Vesely, S., Aspy, C. B., McLeroy, K. R., Rodine, S., & Marshall, L. (2004). The Potential protective effect of youth assets on adolescent alcohol and drug use. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94(8), 1425-1430.
- Petraitis, J., & Flay, B. R. (1995). Reviewing theories of adolescent substance use: Organizing pieces in the puzzle. *Psychological Bulletin*, *117*(1), 67.
- Pitkanen, T., Lyyra, A.L., & Pulkkinen, L. (2005). Age of onset of drinking and the use of alcohol in adulthood: A follow-up study from age 8-42 for females and males. *Addiction* 100, 652-661.
- Poelen, E.P., Scholte, R.H.J., Engels, R.C.M.E., Boomsma, D.I., & Willemsen, G. (2005).
 Prevalence and trends of alcohol use and misuse among adolescents and young adults in The Netherlands from 1993 to 2000. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 79, 413-421.
- Resnick, M. D., Bearman, P. S., Blum, R. W., Bauman, K. E., Harris, K. M., Jones, J., Tabor, J., Beuhring, T., Sieving, R. E., Shew, M., Ireland, M., Bearinger, L. H., & Udry, J. R.

(1997). Protecting adolescents from harm: Findings from the National Longitudinal Study on Adolescent Health. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 278 (10), 823-832.

- Santelli, J. S., Lowry, R., Brener, N. D., & Robin, L. (2000). The association of sexual behaviors with socioeconomic status, family structure, and race/ethnicity among US adolescents. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90(10), 1582-1588.
- Scales, P.C., & Leffert, N. (1999). Developmental assets. A synthesis of the scientific research on adolescent development. Search Institute: Minneapolis, MN.
- Scholte, R. J., Poelen, E. P., Willemsen, G., Boomsma, D. I., & Engels, R. E. (2008). Relative risks of adolescent and young adult alcohol use: The role of drinking fathers, mothers, siblings, and friends. *Addictive Behaviors*, 33(1), 1-14.
- Search Institute. (2004). Tapping the power of community: Building assets to strengthen substance abuse prevention. *Insights and Evidence*, *2*(1), 1-14.
- Sherriff, N., Cox, L., Coleman, L., & Roker, D. (2008). Communication and Supervision of Alcohol in the Family: Parental Perspectives. *Children & Society*, 22(5), 370-382.
- Shortt, A. L., Hutchinson, D. M., Chapman, R., & Toumbourou, J. W. (2007). Family, school, peer and individual influences on early adolescent alcohol use: first-year impact of the

```
Resilient Families programme. Drug & Alcohol Review, 26(6), 625-634.
Sieving, R. E., Maruyama, G., Williams, C. L., & Perry, C. L. (2000). Pathways to Adolescent Alcohol use: Potential mechanisms of parent influence. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 10(4), 489-514.
```

Sillars, L. (1994). Two are stronger than one. *Alberta Report/Newsmagazine*, 21(20), 3

Teachman, J. D., Paasch, K., & Carver, K. (1996). Social Capital and Dropping Out of School Early. *Journal of Marriage & Family*, 58(3), 773-783.

- Teachman, J., Day, R., Paasch, K., Carver, K., & Call, V. (1998). Sibling Resemblance in
 Behavioral and Cognitive Outcomes: The Role of Father Presence. *Journal of Marriage* & *Family*, 60(4), 835-848.
- Thomas, G., Reifman, A., Barnes, G. M., & Farrell, M. P. (2000). Delayed onset of drunkenness as a protective factor for adolescent alcohol misuse and sexual risk taking: a longitudinal study. *Deviant Behavior*, *21*(2), 181-209.
- Thombs, D.L., Wolcott, B.J., & Farkash, L.G.E. (1997). Social context, perceived norms, and drinking behavior in young people. *Journal of Substance Abuse*, *9*, 257-267.
- Tourmbourou, J. W., Stockwell, T. T., Neighbors, C. C., Marlatt, G. A., Sturge, J. J., & Rehm, J. J. (2007). Interventions to reduce harm associated with adolescent substance use. *Lancet*, 369(9570), 1391-1401.
- Trimbos. (2004). Nationale Drug Monitor. Jaarbericht 2004 (National Drug Monitor. Year Overview 2004). Utrecht, The Netherlands: Trimbos-instituut.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2007). *The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent and Reduce Underage Drinking*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Van Der Vorst, H., Vermulst, A. A., Meeus, W. H. J., Dekovic, M., & Engels, R. C. M. E.
 (2009). Identification and prediction of drinking trajectories in early and midadolescence. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 38(3), 329-341.
- Wickrama, K., Conger, R., Wallace, L., & Elder, G. (1999). The intergenerational transmission of health-risk behaviors: Adolescent lifestyles and gender moderating effects. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 40*, 258-272.

Item	n	%
Sex		
Male	24,062	49.4
Female	24,677	50.6
Grade		
7 th	157	17.0
8 th	154	16.7
9 th	167	18.1
10 th	166	18.0
11 th	139	15.1
12 th	139	15.1

Table 1. Demographic and Background Characteristics of Students

Race		
White	38,972	75.0
African American	7,488	14.4
Hispanic/Latino	946	1.8
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,237	2.4
Native American	366	0.7
Multiracial	2,134	4.1
Other	795	1.5
Living Situation		
Live with Mother and Father	32,400	62.4
Live with Mother only	8,386	16.2
Live with Father only	1,494	2.9
Live with Mother and Stepfather	5,116	9.9
Live with Father and Stepmother	1,285	2.5
Other	3,205	6.2
Educational Level of Father		
High School Diploma or less	15,572	34.7
Some College or more	29,343	65.3
Educational Level of Mother		
High School Diploma or less	14 632	31.1
Some College or more	32,486	68.9
Father Job Status		
Unemployed	3,393	7.1
Employed	44,251	92.9
Mother Job Status		
Unemployed	9,342	18.8
Employed	40.413	81.2

Notes: N = 54,366; Percents denote valid percents; Missing values excluded.

		RECENT AI				EPISODIC HEAVY I				
PARENT FACTOR	Did Not Use in Past Month <i>n</i> (%)	Used in Past Month <i>n</i> (%)	OR	(95% CI)	р	Infrequent (Never/Rarely) <i>n</i> (%)	Frequent (A lot/Often) <i>n</i> (%)	OR	(95% CI)	p
Family Structure										
Do not live with both parents ^a	14,501 (76.6)	4,429 (23.4)	1.000			14,970 (87.5)	2,148 (12.5)	1.000		
Live with both parents	25,987 (81.6)	5,841 (18.4)	.736	(.704, .769)	< .001	26,126 (90.4)	2,785 (9.6)	.743	(.700, .789)	< .001
Father Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	2,146 (73.2)	883 (26.8)	1.000			2,471 (82.5)	523 (17.5)	1.000		
Employed	34,771 (80.1)	8,642 (19.9)	.680	(.627, 7.37)	< .001	35,307 (89.6)	4,084 (10.4)	.547	(.495, .604)	< .001
Mother Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	7,301 (80.0)	1,828 (20.0)	1.000			7,394 (88.5)	958 (11.5)	1.000		
Employed	31,600 (79.7)	8,059 (20.3)	1.019	(.962, 1.078)	.525	32,177 (89.4)	3,804 (10.6)	.912	(.846, .984)	.017
Father Education Level										
HS Diploma or less ^a	11,551 (75.8)	3,696 (24.2)	1.000			11,820 (86.7)	1,818 (13.3)	1.000		
Some college or more	23,404 (81.2)	5,414 (18.8)	.723	(.690, .758)	< .001	23,705 (90.2)	2,579 (9.8)	.707	(.664, .704)	< .001
Mother Education Level										
Unemployed ^a	10,909 (76.3)	3,396 (23.7)	1.000			11,157 (86.9)	1,684 (13.1)	1.000		
Employed	25,828 (81.0)	6,063 (19.0)	.754	(.719, .791)	< .001	26,195 (90.2)	2,860 (9.8)	.723	(.678, .771)	< .001

Table 2. Odds Ratios for Recent Alcohol Use and Episodic Heavy Drinking by Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education

Notes: ^a Referent; N = 54,366; Missing values excluded

		МА	LF				FEMA	LE		
		RECENT AL	.C(RECENT ALCOF				
PARENT FACTOR	Did Not Use in Past Month <i>n</i> (%)	Used in Past Month <i>n</i> (%)	OR	(95% CI)	р	Did Not Use in Past Month <i>n</i> (%)	Used in Past Month <i>n</i> (%)	OR	(95% CI)	p
Family Structure										
Do not live with both parents ^a	6,265 (76.5)	1,905 (23.3)	1.000			7,037 (77.4)	2,050 (22.6)	1.000		
Live with both parents	11,973 (80.3)	2,936 (19.7)	.806	(.756, .861)	< .001	12,475 (83.8)	2,407 (16.2)	.662	(.620, .707)	< .001
Father Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	985 (72.9)	367 (27.1)	1.000			1,214 (74.4)	417 (25.6)	1.000		
Employed	15,864 (79.3)	4,144 (20.7)	.701	(.619, .794)	< .001	16,702 (81.9)	3,702 (18.1)	.645	(.574, .725)	< .001
Mother Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	3,283 (79.3)	856 (20.7)	1.000			3,592 (82.0)	786 (18.0)	1.000		
Employed	14,171 (79.1)	3,754 (20.9)	1.016	(.935, 1.104)	.709	15,256 (81.1)	3,556 (18.9)	1.065	(.978, 1.160)	.147
Father Education Level										
HS Diploma or less ^a	5,076 (75.4)	1,659 (24.6)	1.000			5,599 (77.0)	1,671 (23.0)	1.000		
Some college or more	10,878 (80.2)	2,680 (19.8)	.754	(.703, .808)	< .001	11,187 (83.1)	2,275 (16.9)	.681	(.635, .731)	< .001

3. Odds Ratios for Recent Alcohol Use among Males and Females by Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education

Table

Mother Education Level

Unemployed ^a	4,719 (75.7)	1,515 (24.3)	1.000			5,360 (78.1)	1,503 (21.9)	1.000		
Employed	11,902 (80.1)	2,955 (19.9)	.773	(.721, .830)	< .001	12,395 (82.5)	2,626 (17.5)	.756	(.704, .811)	< .001

Notes: ^a Referent; N = 54,366; Missing values excluded

4. Odds Ratios for Episodic Heavy Drinking among Males and Females by Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education

		MAL	ES			FEMALES				
PARENT FACTOR	Infrequent (Never/Seldom) n (%)	EPISODIC HEAV Frequent (A lot/Often) <i>n</i> (%)	VY DRIN OR	KING (95% CI)	р	Infrequent (Never/Seldom) n (%)	EPISODIC HEAV Frequent (A lot/Often) n (%)	Y DRINK OR	ING (95% CI)	р
Family Structure										
Do not live with both parents ^a	6,355(86.4)	998 (13.6)	1.000			7,359 (88.9)	923 (11.1)	1.000		
Live with both parents	11,888 (88.6)	1,536 (11.4)	.823	(.755, .896)	< .001	12,664 (92.6)	1,007 (7.4)	.634	(.463, .629)	< .001
Father Job Status										
Unemployed ^a Employed	977 (79.6) 15,895 (88.2)	250 (20.4) 2,130 (11.8)	1.000 .524	(.453, .606)	< .001	1,267 (85.4) 17,137 (91.6)	216 (14.6) 1,576 (8.4)	1.000 .539	(.463, .629)	< .001

Table

Mother Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	3,290 (87.4)	473 (12.6)	1.000			3,657 (90.5)	382 (9.5)	1.000		
Employed	14,205 (87.9)	1,949 (12.1)	.954	(.857, 1.063)	.394	15,721 (91.3)	1,506 (8.7)	.917	(.815, 1.032)	< .001
Father Education Level										
HS Diploma or less ^a	5,079 (85.0)	473 (12.6)	1.000			5,830 (88.7)	740 (11.3)	1.000		
Some college or more	10,892 (88.7)	1,393 (11.3)	.725	(.662, .793)	< .001	11,436 (92.2)	964 (7.8)	.664	(600, .735)	< .001
Mother Education Level										
Unemployed ^a	4,739 (85.1)	827 (14.9)	1.000			5,552 (89.1)	681 (10.9)	1.000		
Employed	11,918 (88.7)	1,518 (11.3)	.730	(.666, .800)	< .001	12,693 (92.0)	1,106 (8.0)	.710	(.642, .786)	< .001

Notes: ^a Referent; N = 54,366; Missing values excluded

5. Odds Ratios for Recent Alcohol Use among Junior High School and High School Students by Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education.

	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS	HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS RECENT
	RECENT ALCOHOL USE	ALCOHOL USE
PARENT FACTOR	Did Not Use in Used in Past Past Month Month OR (95% CI) n (%) n (%)	Did Not Use in Past Month nUsed in Past Month OR(95% CI) n (%)p(%)

Table Family Structure										
Do not live with both parents ^a	6,083 (90.0)	679 (10.0)	1.000			8,256 (69.4)	3,640 (30.6)	1.000		
Live with both parents	9,709 (95.6)	445 (4.4)	.411	(.363, .465)	< .001	16,111 (75.1)	5,333 (24.9)	.751	(.714, .789)	< .001
Father Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	982 (89.4)	116 (10.6)	1.000			1,404 (66.6)	705 (33.4)	1.000		
Employed	13,409 (93.8)	880 (6.2)	.556	(.453, .681)	< .001	21,113 (73.4)	7,670 (26.6)	.723	(.658, .795)	< .001
Mother Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	2,871 (92.9)	219 (7.1)	1.000			4,381 (74.1)	1,532 (25.9)	1.000		
Employed	12,189 (93.6)	835 (6.4)	.898	(.770, 1.048)	< .001	19,149 (72.9)	7,132 (27.1)	1.065	(.999, 1.136)	.054
Father Education Level										
HS Diploma or less ^a	4540 (90.0)	506 (10.0)	1.000			6,899 (69.0)	3,097 (31.0)	1.000		
Some college or more	8,652 (95.3)	423 (4.7)	.439	(.384, .502)	< .001	14,609 (74.8)	4,931 (25.2)	.752	(.713, .793)	< .001
Mother Education Level										
Unemployed ^a	4,228 (90.5)	446 (9.5)	1.000			6,586 (69.7)	2,868 (30.3)	1.000		
Employed	9,281 (94.7)	549 (5.3)	.530	(.465, .604)	<.001	15,810 (74.4)	5,443 (25.6)	.791	(.749, .834)	< .001

Notes: ^a Referent; N = 54,366; Missing values excluded

6. Odds Ratios for Episodic Heavy Drinking among Junior High School and High School Students by Family Structure, Parent Job Status and Parent Education.

T	ab	le
---	----	----

PARENT FACTOR	JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS					HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS				
	Infrequent	Frequent	EAVY DRIF	NKING		Infrequent	Frequent	EAVY DRINI	AING	
	(Never/Seldom) ((A lot/Often) OR	(95% CI) p	(Never/Seldom) (A lot/Often)	OR (95% CI) p n (%	%) n (%)	n (%) n (%)		
Family Structure										
Do not live with both parents ^a	6,111 (96.2)	244 (3.8)	1.000			8,683 (82.6)	1,834 (17.4)	1.000		
Live with both parents	9,509 (98.4)	159 (1.6)	.419	(.342, .513)	< .001	16,546 (86.4)	2,592 (13.6)	.746	(.699, .796)	< .001
Father Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	969 (94.6)	55 (5.4)	1.000			1,467 (77.9)	417 (22.1)	1.000		
Employed	13,291 (97.8)	297 (2.2)	.394	(.292, .529)	< .001	21,764 (85.3)	3,740 (14.7)	.605	(.539, .678)	< .001
Mother Job Status										
Unemployed ^a	2,845 (97.4)	77 (2.6)	1.000			4,493 (84.5)	824 (15.5)	1.000		
Employed	12,084 (97.6)	299 (2.4)	.914	(.709, 1.178)	.488	19,829 (85.1)	3,459 (14.9)	.951	(.876, 1.033	.235
Father Education Level										
HS Diploma or less ^a	4,527 (95.9)	192 (4.1)	1.000			7,178 (82.1)	1,562 (17.9)	1.000		
Some college or more	8,564 (98.5)	128 (1.5)	.352	(.281, .442)	< .001	14,998 (86.1)	2,423 (13.9)	.742	(.693, .796)	< .001
Mother Education Level										
Unemployed ^a	4,198 (96.1)	172 (3.9)	1.000			6,864 (82.5)	1,453 (17.5)	1.000		
Employed	9,719 (98.2)	182 (1.8)	.457	(.370, .565)	< .001	16,279 (86.0)	2,642 (14.0)	.767	(.715, .822)	< .001

Notes: ^a Referent; N = 54,366; Missing values excluded